1	IN THE UNITED STA	ATES DI	STRICT COURT				
2	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS						
3	MCALLEN DIVISION						
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		CASE NO. 7:21-CR-02335 MCALLEN, TEXAS				
5	VERSUS	§ M	MONDAY, JULY 18, 2022				
6	CESAR VALLE		1:40 P.M. TO 5:03 P.M.				
7	SENTENCING						
8	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICAELA ALVAREZ						
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE						
10							
11	APPEARANCES:	S	SEE NEXT PAGE				
12	ELECTRONIC RECORDING OFFICER:	X	XAVIER AVALOS				
13	CASE MANAGER:	J	JULIE ANN SANCHEZ				
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20	TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE BY:						
21	JUDICIAL TRANSCRIBERS OF TEXAS, LLC						
22	935 Eldridge Road, #144 Sugar Land, TX 77478						
23	281-277-5325 mary@judicialtranscribers.com						
24							
25	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.						

1	<u>APPEARANCES</u> :				
2					
3	FOR	THE	GOVERNMENT:	LEE ALFRED FRY, ESQUIRE U.S. Attorney's Office 1701 W. Hwy 83 Suite 600	
5 6				McAllen, TX 78501 956-431-8002 lee.fry@usdoj.gov	
7	FOR	THE	DEFENDANT:	JOSE ANTONIO SOLIS, ESQUIRE	
8				De La Fuente Solis, PLLC PO Box 2307	
9				Edinburg, TX 78540 956-381-4357 jose@381help.com	
10				Jose Gootherp. com	
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
	1				

Case 7:21-cr-02335 Document 50 Filed on 09/20/22 in TXSD Pag

1	INDEX	
2		PAGE:
3	COLLOQUY RE: PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT	4
4	VARIANCE ARGUMENT:	E
5	PA OQUY RE: PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT ANCE ARGUMENT: Mr. Fry Mr. Solis T'S FINDINGS 18 ENCE	5
6	COURT'S FINDINGS	18
7	SENTENCE	21
8	ADVICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL	22
9	***	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
2425		
∠5		

1 MCALLEN, TEXAS; MONDAY, JULY 18, 2022; 4:40 P.M. 2 THE COURT: Next is 21-2335, Cesar Valle. 3 MR. FRY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Lee Fry on 4 behalf of the United States, present and ready. 5 MR. SOLIS: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Jose 6 Solis on behalf of Mr. Cesar Valle. We're present and 7 ready. THE COURT: Mr. Valle, please raise your right 8 9 hand to be sworn in. 10 CESAR VALLE, DEFENDANT, SWORN THE COURT: Mr. Valle, you are before the Court 11 12 for sentencing on a charge of making a false statement regarding firearms records. 13 14 Do you understand that? 15 DEFENDANT VALLE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Solis, did you receive and review 16 17 the Presentence Investigation Report? 18 MR. SOLIS: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: And did you review it with your 19 client? 20 21 MR. SOLIS: We did, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: And Mr. Valle, did you review with 23 your attorney the Presentence Investigation Report? 24 DEFENDANT VALLE: Yes, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Now, is there a motion from the

```
Government?
1
2
              MR. FRY: Yes, Your Honor. The Government moves
 3
   for third point pursuant to acceptance of responsibility.
 4
              THE COURT: The Court will adopt that
 5
    recommendation.
                     The guideline range becomes 87 to a
 6
    108 months.
7
              Do you understand that, Mr. Valle?
8
              DEFENDANT VALLE: Yes, Your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Solis, just because
10
    I've had this issue come up before, I want to make sure.
11
    did not see any objection to the Base Offense Level that we
    started here and that based upon this being a semi-auto
12
    firearm capable of accepting a large-capacity magazine. So
13
    I take it that, that is not disputed?
14
15
              MR. SOLIS: Correct, Judge.
16
              THE COURT: All right.
                                      Thank you.
17
              All right. Now, then, Mr. Solis, you may proceed.
18
              MR. SOLIS: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.
19
              Your Honor, we have an objection to paragraph
20
   number 30, which is the number of weapons. The PSR is
21
    adding a plus 4 for the number of weapons indicating that
22
   Mr. Valle was responsible for 13. Judge, respectfully, we
23
   would ask this Court to find that only seven of those
24
    weapons should be applied to Mr. Valle.
```

As I did indicate in my objections, Judge, four of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
the weapons that are being counted were purchased by another
straw purchaser, Your Honor, on behalf of another
individual. Mr. Valle was not present on that day. There
is no indication he even had knowledge of that purchase.
          THE COURT: So --
         MR. SOLIS: Additionally, Your Honor --
          THE COURT: So let me interrupt. I sort of agree
with that, but what I was having trouble understanding here
is when you look at paragraph 15, and in paragraph 15, it
says that Mr. Valle admitted that he had purchased six
AK-47s, two pistols, and an additional three AK-47s.
          So if we look just at the AK-47s that would be
nine right there. The pistols, I understand the argument
that you're making about the pistols, and we can talk about
that in just a moment, but that really doesn't comport with
anything in the report here.
         So does anybody have an explanation for that?
         MR. FRY: Your Honor, in this particular case, as
the Court has indicated, he did purchase six AKs.
paragraph 15 refers to his statement as to the forms --
         THE COURT: Right.
         MR. FRY: -- the documents that have been received
from the Government, Your Honor. We believe the purchases
of the AK firearms are better reflected in Table A, Your
```

Honor. It was actually only five firearms that were of AK

```
1
   caliber that were purchased at the gun show itself, Your --
2
              THE COURT: Uh-huh.
 3
              MR. FRY: -- Honor, but the Government does note
 4
   within paragraph 16 of an additional four firearms were
 5
   purchased through a gun broker account that was verified.
 6
   The initial statement was that Mr. Garcia, the unindicted
7
    co-conspirator, had used his account to do that. It
8
    seemed --
9
              THE COURT: And used --
10
              MR. FRY: Mr. Valle's account.
              THE COURT: -- Mr. Valle's account to do that.
11
12
              Do we know if that was with knowledge of Mr.
13
   Valle?
              MR. FRY: Well, Your Honor, later, in
14
15
   paragraph 15, it indicates that he, then, admits that he
16
   actually purchased those firearms all from gun brokers for
17
   Mr. Garcia, Your Honor, because it was via a gun broker, the
18
    formal documentation was not received as to, like, an FFL
19
   paperwork or a 4473, but we do believe that he did purchase
20
    four firearms or had knowledge that Mr. Garcia was
21
   purchasing those four firearms on his gun broker account as
22
   noted in paragraph 15.
23
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. So to come back to
24
   paragraph 15 --
25
              MR. FRY: Uh-huh.
```

```
1
              THE COURT: -- those, that Mr. Valle himself
 2
    claims to have purchased three additional ones, you're just
 3
    not counting anywhere here?
 4
              MR. FRY: That's correct, Your Honor, in that --
 5
              THE COURT: Uh-huh.
              MR. FRY: -- it's from the documentation the
 6
 7
    Government was able to ascertain, it was at the gun show
    that he made purchases. He purchased them from two
 8
 9
    different FFLs --
              THE COURT: Okay.
10
11
              MR. FRY: -- and it was a total of all the guns
12
    contained in Table A.
13
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MR. FRY: But if we're only looking at the AK
14
15
    firearms, Your Honor, not the pistols, then there would be
    seven of them.
16
17
              THE COURT: Okay. And -- okay. So then I do want
18
    to move them to the other four.
19
              In that regard, Mr. Solis, paragraph 16 does
20
    indicate that Mr. Garcia [sic] was involved in that purchase
21
    even though he didn't make a personally, but that he was
22
    involved, in that, basically, he, you know, working with
23
   Mr. Garcia, either allowed or gave him the information for
24
    the account to be used, and then Mr. Garcia -- assuming that
25
   Mr. Valle was going to receive as compensation for that
```

involvement -- another weapon.

So why is that you claim that he shouldn't be held accountable for those?

MR. SOLIS: Judge, again, as we indicated, I don't believe there were -- or to my knowledge -- there were no forms that were filed, which is the basis of the Indictment, Judge. I don't believe -- and allow the Government to correct me -- I don't believe those guns were recovered.

MR. FRY: They were not.

MR. SOLIS: So there is no indication, Judge, that those guns were even received, or there is no evidence of that, Judge, based only -- they're just basing it off of a statement.

THE COURT: No, no. There is evidence that they were -- that they were, in fact, purchased is my understanding. There was evidence that they were purchased -- that they were purchased -- Mr. Garcia using Mr. Valle's account, and that Mr. Valle, in one way or another, assisted, cooperated, with -- that had knowledge that because Mr. Valle was going to receive another firearm for allowing that or helping that happen is what I understand from the report here.

MR. SOLIS: Judge, I would just object to -- like I said -- my objection, Judge, was that the number of weapons, Judge. I do understand the Court's position, but

as I've indicated, Judge, the underlying Indictment was for the ATF Form 4473.

THE COURT: 4473.

MR. SOLIS: Judge, and I don't believe that there is enough for those weapons to be counted for the purposes of this enhancement only, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. So even if I don't count the two firearms that Mr. Valle was going to receive for his self, the Court does believe that he should be held accountable for the ones he purchased, as well as the ones that he, in one manner or another, aided and abetted to be purchased. So that would put us above the limit. So -- and the objection is overruled.

MR. SOLIS: Judge, I'm also objecting to paragraph number 31, the enhancement for transferring or otherwise disposing of firearm to another individual whose possession or receipt of a firearm would be unlawful.

Judge, and the basis for my objection to that is that, I did go into the application notes of that enhancement itself, and it does define specifically what an unlawful person is, and I will read it to Your Honor -- would be that either they have a prior crime of violence, controlled substance offense, misdemeanor crime of domestic violence, or they were at some sort of probation or some sort of supervision, Your Honor. The only basis that the

PSR is using for that enhancement is the fact that this person was a Mexican National.

Your Honor, respectfully, although Mexican
Nationals, they're not allowed to purchase weapons, Judge,
once they're in the country, under certain circumstances,
Visa holders, residents, they are allowed to possess
weapons, so that the transferring of possession of the
weapons, Judge, we believe should not be counted here
because there is no other evidence that Andreas Lopez Garcia
had any sort of criminal history.

Your Honor, he had a Visa, which means that, to have that Visa, there must have been a background check done on him. So I'm assuming that there was no criminal history for Mr. Andreas Lopez. So the PSR being silent to anything but the fact that he's a Mexican National, we would object to those plus 4, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And I agree the prohibited person is defined under the guidelines says very specific. What is the response here?

MR. FRY: Yes, Your Honor. The Government agrees, in part, to the specific objection here, in that, when we look at the subsection of the guidelines, Your Honor, it indicates that it's either whose possession or receipt of the firearm would be unlawful, which is what the PSR writer relied upon, Your Honor, but we do believe the assessment

itself is appropriate because of the second subsection which reads: "It was intended to use or dispose of the firearm unlawfully."

We believe the facts contained within the PSR clearly indicate that Mr. Valle knew these firearms were being transferred to Mexico. They even took steps to try to make sure he put a gun straight back to him because that was something that was of concern to him.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. FRY: And so we believe that, under that subsection of the guidelines, the plus 4 enhancement; however, the Government does formally note that it was not raised in a Governmental objection, and as it's written within the PSR, the Government agrees that the plus 4 does not apply under A.1 (speakers talk at the same time) --

THE COURT: So (indiscernible) a person (indiscernible) --

MR. FRY: Correct.

THE COURT: Right. And that paragraph -- back to paragraph 15 again, I think, in that paragraph, Mr. Valle admitted that he knew that Mr. Garcia was going to be selling part of the ammunition in -- all together. So the Court does believe, sadly well written, but the Court does believe that the enhancement is warranted in this case. So that objection is overruled as well.

MR. SOLIS: Judge, lastly, we have an objection to paragraph number 32, Judge, and that is an enhancement for he transferred in the firearm or ammunition with knowledge, intent, or reason to believe that it would be transported outside of the United States, Judge.

Judge, for the purposes of that enhancement, the PSR uses a conversation. I believe, it was a -- if I'm not mistaken -- a Facebook conversation, Judge, that was had eight months prior to the date of the offense. Judge, in that conversation, Andreas Lopez Garcia, who I believe is still at large, Your Honor, he did mention to Mr. Valle, I believe, that there was a potential buyer somewhere in Mexico, Judge.

However, I will, like I state to the Court, that conversation, Judge, was had eight months prior. Mr. Valle did not -- oh, well, I -- to be -- Mr. Valle's response was, "I will look into it." However, there was no overt action by Mr. Valle, Judge. It was taken just as a comment that was made by somebody. It was only until eight months after that this other individual insisted that Mr. Valle ended up where he's at now, Judge.

So we feel that a conversation that was had eight months prior, Judge, is not -- is too remote to be counted for the purposes of this enhancement, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Now, the conversation

eight months prior may be reflected here, and it may say all that you said. I didn't quite pick that up from here. So if that's in the report, I missed it.

What I did understand from here is that Mr. Valle knew Mr. Garcia, you know, was, in fact, a Mexican citizen, that he did expect that these purchases were going to be transported to Mexico. I saw the -- and actually, I take it back -- I do see, now, in paragraph 17 that he actually had a note on that.

But the other part of it is that he apparently talks about these firearms, like, not being traced back to him and even talks about fictitious bill of sales and then basically saying that he wants to make sure that, you know, that they can't be traced to him; and that if there were in Mexico, they won't be traced to him. So I think, all of that does indicate knowledge that they were going to be transported, exported, to Mexico.

MR. SOLIS: Judge, and just respectfully, one point of that. I believe that conversation was held after the day that Mr. Valle participated in this offense, Judge.

THE COURT: I think that is correct, but then, that goes to show the prior knowledge. So I think it is indicative of that knowledge at the time. So that objection is overruled as well.

MR. SOLIS: Judge, in light of that, Judge, we

respectfully ask for a variance, Judge. Mr. Valle does have a lot of equities, Judge, that I feel the Court should take into consideration. First and foremost, Judge, Mr. Valle, this is his first arrest ever. He doesn't even have a juvenile record. By all indications, Judge, Mr. Valle is here out of a lapse of judgment.

His history, his upbringing, Judge, does not in -is not indicative of where he is today, Judge. If you look
at the background gathering, there is a lot of his families
is back here, Judge. He has a very strong support network.
I did include, Your Honor, some pictures for Your Honor to
see as well as some letters that I was hoping Your Honor
would read from his family.

Judge, Mr. Valle did receive his GED from SDC.

Before being arrested, he was in the process of trying to complete some sort of formal education because he had just recently been married, Judge. I did try to get some of those school records, but the fact that he was here, it made it -- some -- difficult from the SDC to get some records.

He's been employed ever since he moved to the United States, Judge, as a teenager, 18, 19, years of age. Judge, and I did want to approach Your Honor with some information if possible.

THE COURT: You may.

(Begin Bench Conference at 4:55 p.m.)

```
1
             MR. SOLIS: Hi, Judge. I'll make it brief, Judge.
              THE COURT: That's okay.
 2
 3
                          Judge, I just wanted to kind of plea
             MR. SOLIS:
 4
    (indiscernible) about Mr. Valle when he first learned about
 5
    the trouble that he was in, Judge. Initially, when he found
   out that he was in trouble through this, Judge, he came a
 6
7
   hundred percent completely clean with the agents. He drove
    them to where the agents had been stashed.
8
9
              THE COURT: Where the weapons had been stashed.
10
             MR. SOLIS: Where the -- yeah -- the weapons were
11
    stashed.
            He allowed them to go in, Judge. He availed
12
   himself completely to the agents. After that, he retained
    counsel, Judge, that I approached Mr. Fry regarding the
13
   possibility of further cooperation, but it was my indication
14
15
    that he had given up everything a hundred percent truthfully
16
    right at the beginning.
17
             Prior to his sentencing, Judge, I reached out
18
   again to see if there was anything else, but again, Judge,
19
   because of the original statement there was nothing left.
20
   So I just wanted the Court to have any consideration that
   Mr. Valle from the beginning, Judge. He has made it a point
21
22
    of wanting to come clean and make right a wrong, Judge.
23
             MR. FRY: And just for (purposes, yes, that's all
24
           Judge, frankly, the Government just didn't see a
```

purpose for sitting down with him again in that we didn't

```
believe there was any additional information he could give
1
    outside what he gave immediately. It did lead to an
2
 3
    investigation that was just not fruitful based upon the kind
 4
    of items that were found within the storage locker and
 5
    (indiscernible).
              I don't know how to say it with the location other
 6
7
    than kind of how (indsicernible) can tell you
8
    (indiscernible) in the circumstances. So the Government
 9
   would say that he was truthful, and he provided information
10
    that was beneficial for the Government, but it didn't rise
    to substantial assistance.
11
12
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
              MR. SOLIS: Thank you, Judge. Thank you for your
13
    time.
14
         (End Bench Ceonference concluded at 4:57 p.m.)
15
              THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Solis?
16
17
              MR. SOLIS: Yes, Judge. A couple more things.
18
    Judge, I did also submit some certificates that he completed
19
   while in La Villa, Judge. We're respectfully asking for a
20
    sentence substantially below the guideline range, Judge, as
21
    we believe that, based on the facts, circumstances, and Mr.
22
    Valle, that it would be warranted in this case, Judge.
23
              Thank you.
24
              THE COURT: Thank you.
```

Mr. Valle, is there anything that you wish to say?

DEFENDANT VALLE: Yes, Your Honor.

I would like to apologize to, Your Honor -- to Your Honor, to this Court, to the United States Government. I also would like to apologize to my family, my wife, my friends here present for all their pain and suffering I've put them through with my selfishness putting them in this situation. I realize that I made a very big mistake, and I just want to move forward with my life to just close this chapter, go back to work, go back to school, and move forward.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Anything from Government?

MR. FRY: Briefly, Your Honor.

The Government stated most of its concerns at the bench. The Government does believe a sentence within the guideline range is appropriate, but more significantly, Your Honor, we will be asking for a period of supervised release just to make sure that, once he is released from custody, that: One, he can be reentered into society, but also, to make sure that nothing like this doesn't happen again, like, kind of a bad habit (indiscernible).

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Valle, the Court does consider all that has been presented here, and you know, there is a lot of talk always, but especially the last few months, about the right

to own arms, the gun violence that we have experienced, and the debate about where you balance those two. Yeah.

Your offense occurred before these more recent events, and you have no involvement in them, but I want to touch on them for this reason. That, you know, we have things like that happen in our communities, and you know, we're all one way or the other impacted. Sometimes it's just by, you know, sympathy for the people who suffer from it; sometimes, it's more directly.

And we look at, you know, what should we be doing different? How can we change these things? But one of the things that sometimes sort of causes me pause is that, we do that, but then, we act in ways that may be, either intentionally or sometimes unintentionally, we are furthering some of what we see as tragic events.

In your case, you know, you decided to assist in these others in the purchase of these weapons probably giving very little thought to what the ultimate use of these weapons is, and you know, these weapons in Mexico cause all sorts of problems. I have daily defendants, some of whom may be here today, who are fleeing because of the violence that they experience there.

But we have that kind of violence our on end, we -- on our end -- we look at it maybe as a more isolated incident even though they are, I don't think isolated,

because they keep reoccurring. But nonetheless, we sort of look at these as being different situations, and again,

Mr. Valle, I have individuals like you who, without really giving thought to, like, down the road, you know, agree to make these purchases and pass these weapons onto others who are certainly not looking at the best interest of their communities when they are taking possession of these weapons.

Obviously, in this case, we don't have any specifics as to who ultimately acquired these weapons, but the ones going into Mexico are quite often landing in the hands of the Cartels that make life very difficult for, you know, the, you know, the communities where they operate, and I hope that you do give that some thought, Mr. Valle, because while, you know, in the United States, if you are a law-abiding citizen, you can generally, well, purchase a firearm without any kind of consequences.

It's a personal right of that individual, not that you can just purchase them for some other people because those people may have problems that we have determined that even though there is a constitutional right to that, in some instances, certain individuals should not be owning or possessing weapons.

In this case, I recognize what Mr. Solis points out, and that is that, you know, this is the first

conviction on your record, yet, these are weapons that the Court has a lot of concern about, and this was not just one weapon or two weapons. I made that determination as to the amount. The Court does believe that it is a serious offense.

In light of what was presented to the Court here at the bench, I will grant a slight downward departure here, but it is just a slight downward departure. You're in a guideline range of 87 to 108 months. I will sentence you to a term of 75 months in custody.

I am also imposing a three-year term of supervised release.

While you are under supervision, you are not to commit another federal, state, or local crime. You are to comply with the standard conditions adopted by the Court.

Additionally, you are not to posses a firearm, ammunition, destructive device, or any other dangerous weapon.

You are to cooperate in the collection of a DNA sample.

You are also to participate in substance abuse treatment, testing, and abstinence as set out in the appendix to the Presentence Investigation Report.

The Court finds you do not have the ability to pay a fine and will waive the fine, but you do have to pay the

```
$100 special assessment.
1
2
             Anything else as to Mr. Valle?
 3
             MR. FRY: Yes, Your Honor. The Government moves
 4
    to dismiss Count 2.
 5
              THE COURT: It will be dismissed. Thank you.
 6
             MR. SOLIS: Thank you, Judge.
7
             THE COURT: Then you may be excused.
8
             UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right to appeal.
 9
             THE COURT: I'm sorry?
10
             UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The appeal.
11
              THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Mr. Valle
12
    -- than you -- thank you. I'm happy to advise you of your
13
   right to appeal. Thank you.
             Mr. Valle, if you would return, please. I need to
14
15
   advise you of your right to appeal.
16
              You do have the right to appeal. If you wish to
17
   appeal, you need to advise your attorney. If you cannot
18
   afford an appeal, you may file for in forma pauperis in
19
   which case, the Court will file your Notice of Appeal, and
20
    the Court will appoint appellate counsel, and that appeal
   has to be filed within 14 days of the judgment being
21
   entered.
22
23
             Anything else, then?
24
             MR. SOLIS: Thank you.
25
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Then, you may
```

```
be excused. Thank you.
 1
 2
              MR. FRY: May I be excused, Your Honor?
 3
              THE COURT: Yes.
 4
         (Proceedings adjourned at 5:03 p.m.)
 5
 6
               I certify that the foregoing is a correct
 7
    transcript to the best of my ability produced from the
 8
    electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-
 9
    entitled matter.
10
    /S/ MARY D. HENRY
11
    CERTIFIED BY THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF
12
    ELECTRONIC REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS, CET**337
13
    JUDICIAL TRANSCRIBERS OF TEXAS, LLC
14
    JTT TRANSCRIPT #66193
15
    DATE FILED: SEPTEMBER 20, 2022
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```